35 PAGE 829

reserved in the deed previously mentioned, by Thomas D. Younkins and wife.

Q Okay. Now, Mr. Holmes, does the property which Mr. George Cooley, the plaintiff in this case, obtained, correspond with the current—to the actual lay of the land on the—as connotated by the subdivision plat?—Does the land that he got in the deed correspond with the land as it is shown here on the plat?

A Oh, no, Mr. Cooley's deed calls for the conveyance of the north one-half and the southeast quarter. However, by reference to Mr. Houck's division of the property it wasn't clear what passed, so Mr. Cooley now is claiming and his predecessors in title have apparently claimed, the property that is shown on the recorded plat of Pleasant Oaks, which would be the--it would be the westerly one-half and the southeast one-quarter of the original tract.

MR. MILLS: Okay. No further questions, then.

JAMES M. FOWLER, JR., a witness of lawful age, having been duly sworn by the Examiner, deposes and says, in behalf of Plaintiff: